

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,506	DE STASI, FRANK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Linh M. Nguyen	2816	

All Participants:

(1) Linh M. Nguyen.

(2) Mark Hennings.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The interview was held to discuss corrections to the claim language in order to clarify the claimed invention regarding antecedent basis and consistencies of the terms used in claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, and 19, which resulted in an examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)